ON THE PLACEMENT OF THE NAMES CADUCA AND RETIS (LEPID., PHALAENIDAE).

By Wm. Barnes and F. H. Benjamin, Decatur, Illinois.

caduca Grt.

1876, Grote, Can. Ent. VIII, 207, Eustrotia.

1893, Smith, Bull. U. S. N. M., XLIV, 311, Erastria.

1895, Grote, Abh. Nat. Ver. Bremen, XIV, 118, Eustrotia.

1903, Dyar, List, 209, No. 2608, Eustrotia.

1910, Smith, Ins. N. J. (1909), 472, Erastria.

1910, Hampson, Cat. Lep. Phal. B. M., IX, 22, text f. 4, Gortyna.

1913, Forbes, Jour. N. Y. Ent. Soc., XXI, 182, Helotropha.

1917, Barnes & McDunnough, Check List, 69, No. 2634, Helotropha.

form retis Grt.

1879, Grote, Can. Ent., XI, 198, Eustrotia.

1893, Smith, Bull. U. S. N. M., XLIV, 311, Erastria.

1895, Grote, Abh. Nat. Ver. Bremen, XIV, 118, Eustrotia.

1903, Dyar, List 209, No. 2608, Eustrotia.

1910, Hampson, Cat. Lep. Phal. B. M., IX, 23, pl. CXXXVII, 18, Gortyna.

1911, Forbes, Jour. N. Y. Ent. Soc., XXI, 182 (footnote), Helo-

1917, Barnes & McDunnough, Check List, 69, No. 2635, Helotropha.

Both names have, heretofore, been retained as species. Our series, including fresh specimens received from Mr. C. Rummel, shows a single variable species. We base our determinations on specimens compared with the types of both names, in the British Museum, by Dr. J. McDunnough. The form retis was collected along with caduca at Green Village, N. J., by Mr. Rummel, and the name should be added to the New Jersey Faunal Lists. We have both from New York, and a single specimen of caduca from Illinois. The latter was described from Michigan; and retis from Pennsylvania. The species appears, therefore, rather generally distributed, but is not common in collections. Grote, 1876, gives the food plant as "Yellow Pond Lily (Nuphar advena)" and Smith, 1910, as "Sagittaria."

Hampson places the names in the genus he calls Gortyna in his subfamily "Acronyctinæ" recte Apatelinæ. Gortyna Ochs. has,

as type, flavago D. & S., designated by Curtis, 1829; Ochria Hbn. and Xanthoecia Hamps. are synonyms with the same genotype. Probably the genus is strictly European as buffaloensis Grt., the sole species placed in "Xanthoecia," in North American lists, is presumably not strictly congeneric with flavago. Helotropha Led., type Noctua fibrosa Hbn., is available for Gortyna of Hampson as far as reniformis Grt. and its varieties are concerned.

But caduca and retis are not congeneric with reniformis. Vein 5 of the hind wing is strong enough to warrant placement in the subfamily Hampson calls "Erastriinae." Grote has, on several occasions, shown that Ochsenheimer simply stole the name Erastria from the Huebner Tentamen, and that Erastria is a Geometrid genus. Also on plate CCIII of the Samml. exot. Schmett. Huebner figures "Erastria immista Dissimilaria," a typical Geometrid, and this plate may well have been issued prior to Erastria Ochs. (1816); Huebner's prospectus of 1809 saying that 78 plates (not consecutive) had been issued, and his next prospectus (dated 1823) offering 371 plates. We make mention of this to give those who would reject the Tentamen a chance to carefully consider just what they would do with "Erastria." Personally we think the Tentamen names available, and consider Erastria Ochs. a homonym of Erastria Hbn. Tentamen. As for Erastria Ochs., we might also mention that no less than six different genotypes have been designated. The earliest fixation of a type appears to be 1826, Curtis, Brit. Ent., I, 140, Phalaena uncana L. This we accept as type of Erastria Ochs. nec Hbn., and place the name as a homonym under Eustrotia Hbn., type Noctua unca D. & S., designated by Grote, 1874, Bull. Buff. Soc. Nat. Sci., II, 37. Even were Erastria Ochs. available it could not be used for the European trabealis, etc., a use sponsored by Hampson and Warren because of their "first species" rule. These European species belong in Erotyla Hbn. (Tentamen), with synonymic genera Emmelia Hbn. and Agrophila Bdv.

This placement of *Erastria* Ochs. causes us to substitute the name Acontiinæ for Hampson's Erastriinæ, a change we do not regret as it simply substantiates Grote's work. The type genus is *Acontia* Ochs. The first type designation we find for *Acontia* is in 1829. Duponchel designated *solaris* and Curtis designated *luctuosa* in the same year. We cannot say which designation has priority, but tentatively consider the genotype as *solaris*. Hampson, 1918, Nov. Zool., XXV, 200, has already discarded his Acon-

tiinæ of the Cat. Lep. Phal. B. M. for "Vestermannianæ." He derives this from *Westermannia* Hbn. If this genus is to be used as type for the subfamily called Acontiinæ in the Catalogue, Article 4 of the International Zoological Code would seem to compel the name to be Westermanniinæ.

At any rate the name Acontiinæ seems available for "Erastri-

inæ" as employed by Hampson.

Lithacodia Hbn. [type bellicula Hbn., sole species of both the Zutrage (1816?) and the Verz. (1822)], appears to be the genus containing species congeneric with caduca and retis. The peculiar abdominal tuftings of caduca, and even the habitus of the species, is duplicated in Lithacodia apicosa Haw. Frons, legs, and venation also agree.

Remarks on Megistias neamathla Skinner & Williams.— Lepidoptera-Rhopalocera.—The writer has made a series of genitalic mounts of this species and also of Megistias fusca Grote and Robinson, and all of the specimens of neamathla, from which the slides were made, have the subapical spots of the primaries, mentioned in the original description, whereas, none of the specimens of fusca show any trace of these spots, though some of them have two, ill-defined, discal spots; it would seem, therefore, that the presence of subapical spots would serve as a character to separate neamathla from fusca, at least in the majority of cases. Neamathla was described from specimens from Central Florida, and there are specimens in the collection of the writer from Tampa, Florida, and Mobile, Alabama, genitalically determined.—E. L. Bell, Flushing, N. Y.

A New Locality for Thanaos tristis Boisduval.—Lepidoptera-Rhopalocera.—Among a consignment of specimens of submarginal white spots on the under side of the secondaries *Hesperiidae* received from Mr. E. J. Oslar, which were collected by him in the Casper Mountains, Wyoming, during July, 1925, is a single male specimen of this species, genitalically determined, which is identical in superficial appearance with other specimens from California in the writer's collection. The absence of the submarginal white spots on the under side of the secondaries places the specimen with the typical form instead of with the form *tatius* Edwards, commonly taken in Arizona.—E. L. Bell, Flushing, N. Y.